IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

: PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE MR. DEVINDER GUPTA, THE CHIEF JUSTICE.

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE : C.V. RAMULU

CONTEMPT CASE No. 1053 of 2002

Suo motu Contempt Case under Article 215 of the Constitution of India r/w Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 r/w. Rule 5 (a) of the Contempt of Courts Rules 1980 Viz, Suo Motu contempt proceedings are directed to be initiated by order dt. 02-09-2002 against the respondent-contemnor viz., T.K. Kodanda Ram, S/o late T.S. Kesavulu, R/o 10-2-91/87, Road No.3, West Marredpalle, Secunderabad, for making certain averments in Contempt Case No. 388/2002 which amount to Contempt. The respondent-contemnor went on taking the name of the Hon'ble Judge, who rendered the Judgment in W.P. No. 16667/2001 and characterized it as pathetic. When the Court asked for oral explanation of the respondent-Contemnor as to whether the averments made in the Contempt Case would amount to committing contempt of Court, he denied that they do not amount to any contempt and did not express his regret for having employed such expression in the Contempt Case.

Consequently, the High Court has taken suo motu cognizance against the respondent-contemnor and in order to provide a reasonable opportunity of being heard to the respondent-Contemnor,. Proposed to adjourn the case by three weeks to enable him to submit his explanation.

Between:

State of A.P.

.. PETITIONER

AND

T.K. Kodanda Ram, S/o. Late T.S. Kesavulu, R/o. 10-2-91/87,
Road No. 3, West Marredpalle, Secunderabad.

.. RESPONDENT

This Contempt case coming on for hearing and upon perusing the Case and Affidavit filed herein and the orders of the High Court dated: 07-01-2004, 16-02-2004 & 02-08-2004 and made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Advocate General, for petitioner, and of Mr. T.K. Sridhar, Advocate for respondent.

The Court made the following.

ORDER:

" Pursuant to the last Order, contemnor has been served and on execution of bailable warrant, contemnor is present in Court, and, is represented by Sri T.K. Sridhar, Advocate. The contemnor is allowed last opportunity to file affidavit in reply in 2 weeks.

List after 2 weeks. "

DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

for SECTION OFFICER

To

1. T.K. Kodanda Ram, S/o. Late T.S. Kesavulu, R/o. 10-2-91/87, Road No. 3, West Marredpalle, Secunderabad. **(By Special Messenger Along with a copy of Petition and affidavit)**
2. Two CCs to Advocate General, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. **(OUT)**
3. Two spare copies.

USR.

HIGH COURT

HCJ & CVRJ

DATED : 07-09-2004

Note : List after two weeks

ORDER

C.C. No. 1053 of 2002

DIRECTION.

HIGH COURT

Drafted By : USR
Drafted On : 08-09-2004

HCJ & CVRJ

DATED : 07-09-2004

Note : List after two weeks

ORDER

C.C. No. 103 of 2002

DIRECTION.